E-mail/ Speed Post

भारत सरकार /GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

खान मंत्रालय /MINISTRY OF MINES

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो /INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

गवाहाटी क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय /GUWAHATI REGIONAL OFFICE

दूरभाष सं. : / फॅक्स सं:.*0361 2656184,*
Tel/Fax – 0361-2656184
E-mail –ro.guwahati@ibm.gov.in

House No. 216, 3rd Floor, Above IDBI Bank
Chandmari, Post – Bamunimaidan, Dist – Kamrup
(M), Guwahati, Assam-781021

No.IBM/GHY/MEG/UKH/LST/MP-89

Date: 24/6/2019

To,
Shri. Sanjay Kumar Singh
Rock & Earth Projects Pvt. Ltd.
Between Ashok Nagar Gate No. 1 & 2
Ashok Nagar, Main Road, Ranchi - 834002
E-mail: sanjay.archid@gmail.com

Subject: Approval of Mining Plan in respect of your Lambui Proposed Mining Lease Area for Limestone over an area of 4.85 Ha. situated in Ukhrul District of Manipur State

Reference : 1) Your letter No. NIL dtd. 21.5.2019
2) This office letter of even No. dated 3.6.2019 seeking comments on the draft Mining Plan from Director of Trade, Commerce & Industries, Govt. of Manipur.

Sir,

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Mines & Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and clause (1) of Rule 16 of the Minerals (Other than Atomic and Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rules, 2016 read with Government of India Order No.S.O.445(E) dated 28.04.1987 and S.O. 1857(E) dated 18th May, 2016; you are hereby informed that the Mining Plan submitted by you is **'NOT APPROVED'** for the following reasons:-

1. As per the precise lease area map furnished by the State Govt.; it is observed that a black topped road passes below boundary pillar no. Q of the ML crossing lease line QR. The same was also observed during the inspection of lease by Shri. SK Mohapatra of this office on 6.3.2019. However, the surface map submitted by the lessee indicates that same road passes through left of boundary pillar no. Q crossing lease line PQ. This clearly indicates that the surface plan submitted by the applicant has not been updated.
2. Not a single borehole has been reported to be drilled within the applied ML of 4.85 ha. In the text of the report it is mentioned that 10 Nos. of pit with depth varying between 1-2.5m only were dug by the applicant. However, the geological plan indicates the location for 8 Nos. of pit only out of 10 Nos. No analysis of limestone encountered in the test pits has been reported. The resources have been estimated taking a uniform thickness of 2m wherein limestone was reported to be encountered from surface to 2.5m depth of the pits with an average thickness figure of approx. 1m taking into consideration the 8 Nos. of pit shown in geological plan. Subsequently, mining has been proposed upto 3 m depth whereas reserves reported through test pits were limited to 2.5m depth max. Further backfilling has been suggested from 3rd year of mining without any knowledge of the ore body beneath. All the above facts clearly indicate that in absence of drill hole within the ML area, the geometry of ore-body is unknown; the reported reserves/ resources are not correct & the subsequent proposal stated for mining is un-scientific.

Any further submission made in this regard will be considered as a fresh draft submission.

Yours faithfully,

24/6/19

(PK Bhattacharjee)
Regional Controller of Mines

Copy for information to: Shri. PK Sen, QP, e-mail: pksenranchi@gmail.com

(PK Bhattacharjee)
Regional Controller of Mines